THE QHSE STANDARD / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

QHSE Software 2026 Industry Report

What vendors publish about 212 QHSE, EHS and ESG software products, and what buyers cannot verify

212 product profiles · 2,917 cited sources · 2,452 capability statements
General profile checks 28 September–5 November 2026; targeted updates to 6 November 2026

6 NOVEMBER 2026 REVISION

Every count in this report outside the appendix is taken from the researched catalogue on qhsetech.com, the vendors' own public documentation cited in each profile. Each count states what it is counted out of and when the pages were checked. The report distinguishes what a vendor **does not publish** (the pages were read and the item was absent) from what the research **could not establish**. It ranks no vendor. Vendors are named only as sourced examples of identity changes, where a pricing note quotes its evidence, and in the disclosure.

IN THIS EDITION

2 Key findings
3 Price transparency
4 What a published price tells a buyer
5 What a buyer can check before a sales call
6 Evidence depth and what cannot be verified
7 Results by category
8 Vendor identity changes
10 Method, definitions and limits
11 Appendix: workplace-safety context
12 Dataset, licence and how to cite
13 Changes since earlier editions
14 Sources and disclosure

Download this edition's dataset (CSV, CC BY 4.0) · Read the report online

01 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Key findings

Key counts from 212 researched product profiles. The population is every product profile in the researched catalogue. The catalogue is not a random sample of the market; it covers the products the site lists. The commercial partner is counted like every other profile.

80 of 212 · 38%

Most products have no current price on record

80 of 212 researched products (38%) have a current amount on record under the site's Published-price rule, which counts an amount quoted from the vendor's pages or another public listing unless the evidence calls it legacy, unverified or not current. 67 of them (32% of all 212) can be read as a price with a plan, unit and billing period. Of the other 132, the reviewed vendor pages show no amount for 122; they show no current amount for 6, where an older or third-party figure on record is not current; and 4 offer a free plan without a paid amount. Pricing/trial observation dates 19 September–6 November 2026.

Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

67 of 80 · 84%

A published amount is not always a usable price

Of the 80 products with a current amount on record, 67 can be read as a price with a plan, unit and billing period. For the other 13, the evidence could not settle the currency, the billing period, what the amount buys, or whether it is a current tariff. Pricing/trial observation dates 19 September–6 November 2026.

Denominator: 80 products with a current amount on record. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

50 of 212 · 24%

A free trial is documented for a minority

A free trial is documented for 50 of 212 products (24%). 42 offer a trial or demo on request without a documented free self-service trial. For 120 (57%), whether a free trial exists was not established from the reviewed sources. Pricing/trial observation dates 19 September–6 November 2026.

Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

120 of 212 · 57%

For most products, neither a price nor a free trial is documented

120 of 212 products (57%) have neither a published price nor a documented free trial; 38 have both. Of the 120, the reviewed vendor pages show no current paid amount for all of them; 29 offer a trial or demo on request, and for 91 whether a free trial exists was not established. Pricing/trial observation dates 19 September–6 November 2026.

Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

1,291 of 2,452 · 53%

About half of capability statements hold without conditions

Of 2,452 capability statements across 212 profiles, 1,291 (53%) are documented by the vendor for the reviewed scope. 1,051 (43%) are documented but depend on the package, edition, configuration or an add-on, so the quote decides whether a buyer gets them. 105 (4%) could not be verified in the reviewed sources. Profiles checked 28 September–5 November 2026; targeted rechecks recorded to 6 November 2026.

Denominator: 2,452 capability statements. Observed 28 September–5 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

35 of 212 · 17%

Product names and owners change

The identity notes of 35 of 212 profiles record a rebrand, rename, acquisition, merger or a product replaced by another, each quoted and linked to a source. A buyer or a reference that uses an older name may not lead to the current product. Checked 27 September–5 November 2026.

Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 27 September–5 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

Citing a finding. Quote the count with its denominator and check dates, and link the report: The QHSE Standard, *QHSE Software 2026 Industry Report*, 6 November 2026 revision, p. 2: “80 of 212 researched products (38%) have a current amount on record under the site's Published-price rule, which counts an amount quoted from the vendor's pages or another public listing unless the evidence calls it legacy, unverified or not current.” qhsetech.com/2026-report

02 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Price transparency

80 of 212 (38%) researched products have a current amount on record under the site's Published-price rule; 67 of 212 (32%) can be read as a price with a plan, unit and billing period. The other 132 fall into situations this report keeps apart: where the evidence says the vendor pages show no amount, the vendor does not publish one; where it says no amount was established, the question is open.




- **80** (38%) · A current amount is on record under the Published-price rule [on record]
- **122** (58%) · The reviewed vendor pages show no amount [vendor does not publish]
- **6** (3%) · The reviewed vendor pages show no current amount; an older or third-party figure on record is not current [vendor does not publish]
- **4** (2%) · A free plan is offered; the reviewed vendor pages show no paid amount [vendor does not publish]
- **0** (0%) · No amount was established from the reviewed sources; not evidence that the vendor publishes none [not established]

Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

Published price by category

Share of profiles in each category with a published price. Categories with at least 3 profiles; smaller ones are grouped. Alphabetical. Categories are not ranked. Small categories move by a whole profile at a time, so read the counts, not only the shares.



Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date). Category: The category shown on each profile: the profile's own category, or the catalogue category where the profile sets none, as in the published catalogue file. The pricing index uses the same categories (since 22 October 2026), so its category rows count the same profiles.

Published-price rule. A product counts as having a published price when its pricing evidence quotes an amount from a public source and does not describe that amount as legacy, previous, unverified or taken from a page that could not be read. The source is usually the vendor's pricing page, but can be its help centre, price calculator or template store, or a public procurement listing, so the amount is not always an entry price for the whole product; the readable-price count says how many can be read as one. A free plan on its own is not a price. The status follows the wording of each profile's pricing evidence. 'The vendor does not publish' needs the evidence to say that the reviewed vendor pages show no amount, or to record a quote-only pricing model with no amount; where it says that no amount was established or verified, the status is 'not established'.

03 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

What a published price tells a buyer


A number on a pricing page is not always a price a buyer can apply. Of the 80 products with a current amount on record, **67 of 80 (84%)** can be read as a price with a plan, unit and billing period. For 13, the evidence records why not:

– The amounts are examples of one-off document prices in a template store, not a software price schedule.
– The amounts are the 2026 fees in the supplier's own UK G-Cloud 15 pricing document (per-user licence bands and separately priced integrations), which the evidence says are not a universal price or proof of current eligibility.
– The amounts are the prices of a product that has its own pricing page in this directory, shown on the vendor's shared pricing page.
– The evidence could not tie the displayed amount to a billing setting or a currency.
– The home and pricing pages show starting amounts for seven modules and four offers without a billing period, term or currency name, and price the audit offer differently ($500 and $300).
– The only amount is a standalone mobile app subscription, which the evidence says is not a price for the suite.
– The only figure is an approximate annual range from a comparison page, not a tariff.
– The pricing page shows a monthly starting amount for Professional without a user count or billing term.
– The pricing page shows starting amounts for three plans without a billing period, term or user count.
– The public pages require contractual reconciliation before a normalized price can be stated.
– The published Core threshold does not establish a billing period, recipient allowance or purchase minimum.
– The vendor's pricing page lists tiers by the number of AEDs managed, and the home FAQ says unlimited locations and devices are included on every plan, which does not match those tiers.
– The vendor's pricing page shows two Premium seat prices, one per billing toggle, and the amounts carry no period label or currency.

Denominator: 80 products with a current amount on record. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

Pricing unit, period and currency (the 67 readable price schedules)

Pricing unit



Other units found in mixed schedules: connected supplier, emission unit, form, metric tracked, product profile.

Billing period



Currency



Minimums and conditions

10 of 67 (15%) state a minimum purchase; the user minimums stated run from 3 to 25 users. A minimum is counted only where the vendor's page states one; no stated minimum is not evidence that none applies. Among the same schedules, the amount covers a scope other than the product for 4, comes from calculator defaults for 3, needs a mandatory extra without a published price for 2 and is a set of separately bought modules rather than tiers of one plan for 2.

Denominator: 67 products whose published amounts can be read as price points. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

04 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

What a buyer can check before a sales call

Before a sales call a buyer can read a price, start a free trial or check a documented offline workflow, if the vendor publishes them. These counts show how often that is possible from public pages.

Free trial



- **50** (24%) · A free trial is documented on the vendor's pages [documented]
- **42** (20%) · A trial or demo is offered on request; a free self-service trial is not documented [on request]
- **120** (57%) · Whether a free trial exists was not established from the reviewed sources [not established]

Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

Price and trial together



- **38** (18%) · Published price and a documented free trial
- **42** (20%) · Published price; no documented free trial
- **12** (6%) · Documented free trial; no published price
- **120** (57%) · Neither a published price nor a documented free trial

Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

Of the 120 with neither: by price, the reviewed vendor pages show no amount for 112, no current amount for 6 and only a free plan for 2, and for 0 no amount was established; by trial, 29 offer a trial or demo on request and for 91 whether a free trial exists was not established.

Offline operation

100 of 212 (47%) profiles carry an offline classification. The other 112 have not been classified: their profiles describe connectivity in text, but no status is counted here, and the absence of a status is evidence neither way.



- **40** (40%) · Documented offline workflow
- **52** (52%) · Partial offline workflow
- **1** (1%) · Connection required for the assessed workflow
- **1** (1%) · Not an interactive software app
- **6** (6%) · Offline operation not established

Denominator: 100 profiles with an offline classification. Observed 28 September–5 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

05 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Evidence depth and what cannot be verified

Profiles record 2,452 capability statements, each with a status. A conditional statement is documented, but whether a buyer gets it depends on the package, edition, configuration or an add-on, so the quote decides.



- **1,291** (53%) · Documented by the vendor for the reviewed scope [documented]
- **1,051** (43%) · Documented, but depends on package, edition, configuration or add-on [vendor publishes with conditions]
- **5** (<1%) · Documented by the vendor as unsupported [documented]
- **105** (4%) · Not verified in the reviewed sources [not established]

Denominator: 2,452 capability statements. Observed 28 September–5 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date).

By profile: **209 of 212 (99%)** have at least one conditional statement and **72 of 212 (34%)** at least one unverified statement. For **91 of 212 (43%)**, fewer than half of the profile's capability statements are documented without condition (documented, or documented as unsupported). Targeted rechecks are recorded 19 September–6 November 2026.

Three kinds of absence

The same empty cell can mean different things. The dataset keeps them apart, and so does every count in this report.

State	Where it occurs	Count
The vendor does not publish it The reviewed vendor pages were read and the item was absent.	The reviewed vendor pages show no amount	122 of 212
	The reviewed vendor pages show no current amount; an older or third-party figure on record is not current	6 of 212
	A free plan is offered; the reviewed vendor pages show no paid amount	4 of 212
Not established The research could not confirm it either way. It is not evidence that the vendor lacks it.	Whether a free trial exists was not established from the reviewed sources	120 of 212
	Not verified in the reviewed sources (capability statements)	105 of 2,452
	No amount was established from the reviewed sources; not evidence that the vendor publishes none	0 of 212
	Offline operation not established (profiles with an offline classification)	6 of 100
	Currency not established (readable price schedules)	3 of 67
Not classified The field has not been assessed for these profiles yet.	No offline classification	112 of 212

The evidence base

Cited sources
2,917
median 13 per profile, range 8–27; 2,909 distinct URLs

On the vendor's listed website
93%
2,715 of 2,917 source citations

Help-centre or documentation pages
504
citations (17%), on 79 of 212 profiles

Capabilities with a source URL
2,357
of 2,452; median 11 per profile, range 7–60

Evaluation dimensions
1,243
on 212 profiles; 1,278 questions

Pricing and packaging review
103
verified, 55 partial, 54 none

Observed 28 September–5 November 2026. Citations not on the vendor's listed website domain are mostly the vendor's own help centres, documentation and release notes on other domains, plus app-store listings, a public procurement listing, a certificate register and acquisition announcements. Research is based on vendor documentation, not hands-on testing. Documentation pages are identified by URL pattern: help, support, docs or knowledge-base hosts and paths, Zendesk/Freshdesk/Intercom help centres and release notes.

06 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Results by category

Every count below is a number of profiles, except capabilities, which counts statements. Categories with fewer than 3 profiles are grouped. Alphabetical. Categories are not ranked.

Category	Profiles	Published price	Free trial documented	Trial not established	Offline classified	Of which documented or partial	Capabilities documented
Asset Management	7	4 (57%)	3	4	6	6	31 of 80
Audits & Inspections	16	15 (94%)	12	2	15	15	92 of 190
Chemical Management	5	1 (20%)	2	1	2	1	26 of 55
Construction Safety	7	2 (29%)	2	4	6	6	34 of 76
Contractor Management	4	2 (50%)	0	4	1	1	31 of 46
EHS Management	43	12 (28%)	5	25	21	21	280 of 492
Emergency Management	6	4 (67%)	0	5	2	2	20 of 63
Environmental Management	6	2 (33%)	2	1	3	2	31 of 72
ESG & Sustainability	30	3 (10%)	2	27	3	3	149 of 292
Lone Worker Safety	4	2 (50%)	1	2	0	0	15 of 54
Occupational Health	11	3 (27%)	3	5	3	1	71 of 145
Permit to Work	3	1 (33%)	0	2	2	2	25 of 38
Process Safety	4	2 (50%)	0	2	1	1	23 of 48
QHSE Management	17	5 (29%)	3	12	14	14	145 of 251
Quality Management	22	9 (41%)	6	9	8	6	155 of 259
Risk & Compliance	5	2 (40%)	1	3	4	3	37 of 56
Risk Management	3	0 (0%)	0	3	0	0	17 of 34
Safety Management	12	9 (75%)	6	5	3	3	78 of 119
Other (6 categories, fewer than 3 each)	7	2 (29%)	2	4	6	5	31 of 82
All profiles	**212**	**80 (38%)**	**50**	**120**	**100**	**92**	**1,291 of 2,452**

The grouped categories: Calibration Management (1); Document Management (1); Fleet Safety (2); Industrial Hygiene (1); QHSE Platforms (1); Training & Competency (1).
Denominator: 212 researched product profiles. Observed 19 September–6 November 2026 (earliest to latest check date). Category: The category shown on each profile: the profile's own category, or the catalogue category where the profile sets none, as in the published catalogue file. The pricing index uses the same categories (since 22 October 2026), so its category rows count the same profiles.

07 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Vendor identity changes

The identity notes of **35 of 212 (17%)** profiles record a change of name or owner: 35 changes, each quoted and linked to a source. A reference that uses an older name may not lead to the current product.

Included: a change of name or owner that the profile's identity note records as an event (a rename, rebrand, acquisition, merger or combination, a separated business, a product retired or its web address redirected to another, heritage names mapped to a current product), quoted from the note and linked to a vendor, acquirer or merger-partner page among the profile's own sources. A statement of who owns a product today, with no change recorded, is not included. Each statement is quoted from the profile's identity note. The list covers the researched catalogue only; it is not a survey of market consolidation. Entries checked 27 September–5 November 2026; A–Z by product name.

Profile and change	What the source says (quoted from the profile)	Source and check date
3E Protect separated from a former parent	A 3E article dated 14 March 2022 reports that New Mountain Capital and Endicott Capital closed their purchase of 3E from Verisk, with New Mountain as the control investor.	3E article of 14 March 2022 on the closing of the 3E acquisition by New Mountain Capital and Endicott Capital, checked 5 November 2026 · 5 Nov 2026
Cenosco IMS product retired into another	The former IMS Offline app was retired and replaced by IMS4Field.	Retired IMS Offline application (v4.9 handbook page) · 5 Nov 2026
Civica Occupational Health product renamed	Civica names the current product Civica Occupational Health, formerly OPAS.	Current product identity, workflows, data-protection and integration scope · 12 Oct 2026
CORE-EHS company renamed	CORE-EHS identifies itself as formerly ASK EHS Engineering & Consultants.	Company identity · 11 Oct 2026
D4H acquisition	D4H has been an EcoOnline business since the acquisition announced on 24 June 2025.	EcoOnline acquisition announcement (24 June 2025) · 14 Oct 2026
Diligent ESG acquisition	Diligent acquired Accuvio in 2021.	Accuvio acquisition and identity · 14 Oct 2026
EcoOnline acquisition	Its acquisition announcement concerns the Alcumus software division, not every Alcumus business.	Alcumus software-division acquisition scope · 14 Oct 2026
Evotix separated from a former parent	On 23 October 2024 SAI360 completed the divestiture of its EHS&S business to Evotix, which the announcement describes as a standalone business within the STG portfolio.	Evotix divestiture from SAI360 completed, 23 October 2024 — checked 5 November 2026 · 5 Nov 2026
Fatigue Science (Readi) product renamed or superseded	ReadiBand is the former name or generation referenced by older material.	ReadiWatch scope, forecasts and data boundaries · 14 Oct 2026
Greenly merger announced	In September 2026, Normative announced a merger with Greenly.	Normative and Greenly merger announcement, identity checked 20 September 2026 · 11 Oct 2026
HBK / Brüel & Kjær companies combined	HBK was formed in 2019 through the combination of HBM and Brüel & Kjær.	HBK identity and 2019 formation · 11 Oct 2026
HSI acquisition	HSI announced its acquisition of Donesafe on 4 February 2020, and Donesafe uses the HSI Donesafe name.	HSI acquisition of Donesafe, announced 4 February 2020 · 27 Sep 2026
HSI Donesafe acquisition	HSI announced its acquisition of Donesafe on 4 February 2020, and Donesafe uses the HSI Donesafe name.	HSI acquisition of Donesafe, announced 4 February 2020 · 27 Sep 2026
Ideagen EHS heritage names mapped to this product	The official product directory maps ProcessMAP, Lucidity, DevonWay and OSHENS to Ideagen EHS.	Product portfolio and heritage-name mapping · 5 Nov 2026
Intellect QMS acquisition	In November 2025 it acquired the Zaptic Connected Frontline Worker product.	Company history, acquisition and ISO certificates · 14 Oct 2026
Lisam acquisition	Lisam acquired the US EHS vendor Perillon in 2019.	Perillon acquisition announcement · 11 Oct 2026
Mitti (by SafetyCulture) rebrand	SafetyCulture rebranded to Mitti on 11 August 2026, according to the vendor's media release of that date.	Rebrand announcement: 11 August 2026 · 5 Nov 2026
MRI OnLocation acquisition and rename	MRI Software announced the acquisition of the New Zealand company on 4 March 2021; the release gives no terms.	MRI Software press release on acquiring WhosOnLocation, 4 March 2021, checked 12 October 2026 · 12 Oct 2026

Vendor identity changes (continued)

Profile and change	What the source says (quoted from the profile)	Source and check date
Nimonik acquisition	The vendor's U.S. bill tracking page states that BillTrack50, a U.S. bill and regulation tracker, was acquired on 31 July 2026 …	Nimonik U.S. bill tracking page, checked 2 October 2026 · 14 Oct 2026
Normative merger announced	Normative and Greenly announced a merger in September 2026.	Greenly and Normative merger announcement · 5 Nov 2026
Novara Flex separated and renamed	KPA announced the separation of Flex and Risk Management Center into Novara on 27 January 2026; KPA retained its automotive focus.	KPA announcement: creation of Novara · 5 Nov 2026
Octave Reliance product renamed	Octave identifies Reliance as the former ETQ Reliance.	Reliance identity and portfolio · 5 Nov 2026
Plan A acquisition	Diginex Limited (NASDAQ: DGNX) announced on 14 January 2026 that it had closed its acquisition of the company.	Diginex closes acquisition of PlanA.earth GmbH (14 January 2026) · 5 Nov 2026
Quartex InControl company renamed	Quartex is the renamed INX K2fly business.	INX K2fly renamed Quartex · 14 Oct 2026
Rave Mobile Safety URL redirects to another product	The former Guardian product URL redirects to AppArmor, which does not establish automatic migration or identical features.	Guardian URL redirect · 11 Oct 2026
Red-on-line Core acquisition	The site's legal notice names IPD SAS of Gentilly, France, and the home page calls Red-on-line a brand of the Infopro Digital group, which the about page says it joined in 2009.	Red-on-line about page, checked 5 November 2026 · 5 Nov 2026
Resco Inspections & Audits product renamed	Current documentation calls the underlying questionnaire toolset Resco Forms, formerly Resco Inspections.	Resco Forms identity and integration boundaries · 5 Nov 2026
Safety Mojo acquisition and rebrand	The About page timeline says the platform was developed at a California contractor, acquired in 2020 by the company (then Edify.ai), and that the company rebranded as Mojo AI in 2024.	Safety Mojo about page, checked 2 October 2026 · 14 Oct 2026
SafetyStratus acquisition	Ideagen announced the acquisition of SafetyStratus on 2 October 2025 and described Ideagen Chemical Management as a specialist offering in its portfolio.	Ideagen acquisition announcement · 11 Oct 2026
SciSure Health & Safety (EHS) merger and rebrand	In a press release distributed by Newsfile on 30 January 2025, SciShield and eLabNext announced that they had merged and rebranded the combined company as SciSure; SciSure's own copy of the announcement is bylined 29 January 2025.	SciSure news post on the SciShield and eLabNext merger, bylined 29 January 2025 (its structured data gives 29 August 2025), checked 5 November 2026 · 5 Nov 2026
Sphera Control of Work acquisition	Sphera acquired Petrotechnics in 2019 …	Petrotechnics acquisition, January 2019 · 11 Oct 2026
TenForce acquisition	A TenForce release dated 8 September 2021 says Elisa made a strategic investment to hold a majority stake in TenForce, which would continue as an independent company under its existing leadership.	TenForce release on Elisa's majority stake, 8 September 2021, checked 5 November 2026 · 5 Nov 2026
Vector Solutions acquisition	This profile covers Vector EHS Management, formerly IndustrySafe, which Vector Solutions acquired in July 2019.	IndustrySafe acquisition · 14 Oct 2026
VelocityEHS company renamed	MSDSonline is a former name: the vendor's press release of 28 September 2015 says MSDSonline and its subsidiary KMI began doing business as VelocityEHS …	MSDSonline and KMI begin doing business as VelocityEHS, press release of 28 September 2015 — checked 5 November 2026 · 5 Nov 2026
VisiumKMS acquisition	The vendor's pages describe it as a division of Valsoft Corporation, and the acquirer's press release (dated 26 February 2020 on the Valsoft site, https://www.valsoftcorp.com/news/valsoft-acquires-visiumkms-a-leader-in-quality-health-safety-environmental-software) states that Valsoft acquired Rolls-Royce Controls and Data Services Inc. on 3 February 2020 and that the company was then renamed VisiumKMS Inc.	Valsoft press release on the acquisition (26 February 2020), checked 2 October 2026 · 14 Oct 2026

08 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Method, definitions and limits

Population and unit

The population is every product profile in the researched catalogue. The catalogue is not a random sample of the market; it covers the products the site lists. The commercial partner is counted like every other profile. The unit is a product profile, not a company. The profiles fall into 24 categories as shown on each profile.

What was read

Each profile cites the vendor's own public pages: pricing, product, help-centre and documentation pages, release notes and announcements. Research is based on the vendor's own public documentation, not hands-on testing. Nothing here comes from a buyer survey, vendor-supplied answers or reviews.

Observation windows

Profile evidence dates run 28 September–5 November 2026. Targeted rechecks are recorded 19 September–6 November 2026, the pricing and packaging review 19 September–6 November 2026 and offline classifications 28 September–5 November 2026. Each count gives the earliest and latest check date of the records it counts. Vendor pages change after these dates.

Definitions

Published price	A product counts as having a published price when its pricing evidence quotes an amount from a public source and does not describe that amount as legacy, previous, unverified or taken from a page that could not be read. The source is usually the vendor's pricing page, but can be its help centre, price calculator or template store, or a public procurement listing, so the amount is not always an entry price for the whole product; the readable-price count says how many can be read as one. A free plan on its own is not a price.
Readable price	An amount on record that can be read with a plan, unit and billing period, from the site's structured reading of each price. Where it cannot, the reason is recorded.
Free trial	A free trial is documented on the vendor's pages. On request: a trial or demo is offered on request; a free self-service trial is not documented.
Offline status	Documented offline workflow; Partial offline workflow; Connection required for the assessed workflow; Offline operation not established; Not an interactive software app. Assigned only where a profile has been classified.
Capability status	Documented by the vendor for the reviewed scope; Documented, but depends on package, edition, configuration or add-on; Not verified in the reviewed sources; Documented by the vendor as unsupported.
Does not publish / not established	Kept apart throughout: the first means the vendor's pages were read and the item was absent; the second means the research could not confirm it either way. The status follows the wording of each profile's pricing evidence. 'The vendor does not publish' needs the evidence to say that the reviewed vendor pages show no amount, or to record a quote-only pricing model with no amount; where it says that no amount was established or verified, the status is 'not established'.

How the figures are produced

One script counts every figure outside the appendix from the research records and writes the report data and the CSV. This edition's figures were frozen on 6 November 2026 (data fingerprint cd3dc6e00b8867e7): the site keeps this file and the rows it counts unchanged at their own addresses, and the build fails if the PDF contains a number the data does not. The profile pages, the site's other studies and the live CSV keep following the records, so their counts can differ from this edition's; the report page shows the current edition's figures.

Limits

The catalogue is not a random sample, so shares describe the listed products, not the whole market. Documentation is not proof that a feature works in a given deployment. Categories: The category shown on each profile: the profile's own category, or the catalogue category where the profile sets none, as in the published catalogue file. The pricing index uses the same categories (since 22 October 2026), so its category rows count the same profiles. Alphabetical. Categories are not ranked. The commercial partner is counted exactly like every other profile, and no vendor is ranked or scored.

09 / CONTEXT

Appendix: workplace-safety context

The 8 workplace-safety and market figures that formed the 20 September 2026 edition, unchanged and condensed. They describe national injury records and one publisher forecast, not the software products counted in this report, and are no evidence of any product's effect. Figures reviewed 20 September 2026.

Figure	What it measures and its limit	Period and coverage	Source
2,488,400	Estimated nonfatal recordable injury and illness cases. Employer-reported survey data, not a census of all workers. The source table uses thousands; 2,488.4 thousand is shown here as cases.	2024 United States · private industry covered by the BLS SOII Official survey estimate	S1 22 January 2026
2.3 per 100 FTE	Estimated nonfatal recordable injury and illness incidence rate. An aggregate across industries, not a target for an individual site. Select the relevant industry and establishment size before benchmarking.	2024 United States · private industry covered by the BLS SOII Official survey estimate	S2 23 March 2026
59,219	Employee nonfatal injuries reported by employers under RIDDOR. Covers reported injuries meeting RIDDOR criteria, not every workplace injury. Great Britain excludes Northern Ireland.	2024/25 Great Britain · employees Administrative records	S3 2025 edition
680,000	Estimated workers sustaining a nonfatal workplace injury. Self-reports have different coverage and thresholds from RIDDOR. Dividing the two totals does not establish a reporting-completeness rate.	2024/25 Great Britain · workers, Labour Force Survey Official survey estimate	S3 2025 edition
124	Workers killed in work-related accidents in the 2025 release. A dated release for this period; later HSE releases may revise it. Excludes deaths from occupational disease.	2024/25 Great Britain · workers, RIDDOR Administrative records	S3 2025 edition
3,298	Fatal accidents at work recorded in the EU. A count for one year, not an annual forecast. Use ESAW coverage and national recording notes when comparing countries.	2023 European Union · European Statistics on Accidents at Work (ESAW) Administrative records	S4 14 October 2025
1.63 per 100,000	Fatal accidents at work per 100,000 employed people in the EU. This fatality rate uses employed people. It is not comparable to the BLS nonfatal rate per 100 full-time equivalents.	2023 European Union · employed people, ESAW Administrative records	S4 14 October 2025
More than US$3bn	EHS software market forecast for 2028, published by Verdantix in January 2025. A historical forecast, not measured 2026 revenue or a QHSE/ESG combined total. The public press release does not provide the full sizing methodology.	2028 forecast horizon EHS software market as defined by Verdantix Publisher forecast	S5 9 January 2025

Compare like with like: a survey estimate, an administrative count and a forecast answer different questions, and a rate needs its own denominator.
Full source reference and corrections log

10 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Dataset, licence and how to cite

QHSE software transparency dataset: what vendors publish about 212 products. 212 rows, 24 columns, as counted for this edition: qhsetech.com/data/qhse-software-transparency-2026-11-06.csv. The live CSV, which keeps following the records: qhsetech.com/data/qhse-software-transparency-2026.csv.

Licence: CC BY 4.0. Reuse, adapt and republish it, including commercially, with attribution. One row per researched product profile, A-Z. Research is based on the vendor's own public documentation, not hands-on testing. An empty field means not applicable or not stated, never zero. Vendor pages change: cite checked_on and link the profile_url.

Column	Meaning
id	Stable product id, as in the profile URL
name	Product name used on the profile
category	Category shown on the profile
published_price	yes/no: a current amount is on record under the site's Published-price rule (an amount quoted from the vendor's pages or another public listing that the evidence does not call legacy, unverified or not current)
price_status	published | no-amount-on-reviewed-pages (vendor does not publish: the reviewed vendor pages show no amount) | no-current-amount-older-figure-on-record (vendor does not publish a current amount: an older or third-party figure on record is not current) | free-plan-only (vendor does not publish a paid amount) | amount-not-established (not established: no amount was established from the reviewed sources; not evidence that the vendor publishes none)
price_readable	For published prices: yes when plan, unit and period can be read; otherwise the reason
pricing_basis	For readable prices: per user or seat, per site or location, per device, package, another unit, or more than one
pricing_units	For readable prices: each unit and period the vendor prices in
billing_period	For readable prices: month, year and/or one-time
currency	For readable prices: stated | dollar-sign-only | mixed | not-established
minimum_purchase	Minimum quantities the vendor states; empty when none is stated (not evidence that none applies)
trial_status	verified-free | request-only | not-established, from the profile's trial status (the catalogue's older yes/no trial flag can also say yes for a trial on request)
offline_status	documented | partial | online-required | unverified | not-applicable, or not-assessed
commercial_review	verified | partial | none: whether the pricing and packaging check was complete
capabilities	Number of capability statements on the profile
capabilities_documented	Documented by the vendor for the reviewed scope
capabilities_conditional	Documented but dependent on package, edition, configuration or add-on
capabilities_unverified	Not verified in the reviewed sources
capabilities_unsupported	Documented by the vendor as unsupported
sources	Number of cited sources on the profile
checked_on	Profile evidence date (YYYY-MM-DD)
profile_url	The profile, with every source link
pricing_source_url	The vendor page the pricing index cites, where one is labelled
license	CC BY 4.0

How to cite

The report: The QHSE Standard (2026). *The QHSE Software 2026 Industry Report: What vendors publish about 212 QHSE, EHS and ESG software products, and what buyers cannot verify*. 6 November 2026 revision. qhsetech.com/2026-report. Give the page, and the figure's denominator and check dates.

The dataset: The QHSE Standard (qhsetech.com), The QHSE Software 2026 Industry Report, evidence checked 28 September–5 November 2026, CC BY 4.0. qhsetech.com/data/qhse-software-transparency-2026-11-06.csv

11 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Changes since earlier editions

The 20 September 2026 edition (9 pages) was an editorial synthesis of 8 public figures. This edition reports counts from the site's own research on 212 product profiles. The earlier file stays available at its own address: qhse-software-2026-industry-report-2026-09-20.pdf.

Since the 27 September 2026 revision	Every count outside the appendix is taken again from the records: profiles as checked 28 September–5 November 2026, price and trial observations 19 September–6 November 2026. The appendix figures are unchanged. The earlier file was regenerated at its own address until 23 October 2026 and stays available unchanged: qhse-software-2026-industry-report-2026-09-27.pdf. This edition's rows: qhse-software-transparency-2026-11-06.csv.
Since the 20 September 2026 edition	Made in the September 2026 revisions and kept since:
New: original counts	Price, trial, offline, capability and identity counts from 212 profiles and 2,917 cited sources, each with a denominator and check dates.
New: open dataset	One row per profile under CC BY 4.0. This edition's own copy, linked above, reproduces its price, trial, offline, capability and category counts; the evidence-base figures, the identity changes and the conditions attached to some prices come from the profiles it links.
Kept, condensed	The 8 workplace-safety and forecast figures, with the same values, periods and sources, moved to the appendix.
Removed	The per-region explanation pages and the worked evaluation exercise with invented teaching inputs. Buying guidance stays on the site: demo checklist, buying guide.
Still withdrawn	Claims withdrawn in earlier editions stay withdrawn; the online log keeps 22 individual withdrawal notes: corrections log.
Disclosure	Now also states that the site receives no referral fees.
Method statement	No longer describes the report as a synthesis of public figures only. It is original analysis of public vendor documentation, and still neither a buyer survey nor hands-on testing.

Corrections

This edition is frozen: its figures stay as published. A corrected profile changes the website and the live CSV at once, and this report's counts in its next edition. To report an error, send the profile, the figure and the vendor page via qhsetech.com/contact. Vendors can correct their own profile the same way; a correction changes the counts, never a vendor's position, because nothing is ranked.

12 / ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Sources and disclosure

Research records

Product profiles with every cited source: qhsetech.com/software (one page per product; the dataset's profile_url column links each). Identity-change sources are linked in the table on page 8. Method: qhsetech.com/methodology.

Appendix sources

S1. BLS — SOII Table 1, total recordable cases · 22 January 2026

S2. BLS — The Economics Daily, 2024 workplace injuries and illnesses · 23 March 2026

S3. HSE — Health and safety at work, summary statistics 2025, page 7 (PDF) · 2025 edition

S4. Eurostat — Accidents at work claimed 3,298 lives in the EU in 2023 · 14 October 2025

S5. Verdantix — EHS Software Green Quadrant 2025 press release · 9 January 2025

Disclosure

Dimitris Mitsios is the founder of The QHSE Standard and works in product marketing at Tekmon. His professional focus includes software positioning, digital workflows and user adoption.

The QHSE Standard is Dimitris Mitsios's personal project, not a company. Tekmon, where Dimitris works in marketing, pays for sponsored placements; they are labelled and sit apart from the documented-match ordering. The site receives no referral fees.

The partner's product is one of the profiles and is counted by the same rules as every other. The report does not rank, score or recommend vendors.

Contact

qhsetech.com/contact · Author page · Report page